



Transforming Chemicals Production in Texas

Methodology and Assumptions

Lever Impact Evaluation Methodology

AI-Driven Predictive Maintenance

1. Scope

- **Technology:** Sensors and AI analytics used to identify emerging failures in pumps, compressors, valves, motors, and instrumentation before they cause a unit upset, shutdown, venting, or flaring.
- **Modeled population:** 52 Texas primary-chemical facilities producing hydrogen, ammonia, methanol, aromatics, or olefins.
- **Event dataset:** 162 maintenance-related TCEQ emissions events reported at nine sampled facilities during 2021–2025.
- **Boundary:** Includes events whose reported cause appeared fully or partly detectable through equipment monitoring; excludes power outages, weather, operator error, and sudden failures without a detectable precursor.
- **Interpretation:** High-end statewide screening estimate, not a prediction of deployment or a guarantee that identified events would be prevented.

2. Methodology

Event identification and emissions

- **Addressability review:** Each event received a weight of 1.0 (detectable), 0.5 (partly detectable), or 0 (not detectable) based on the reported failure mechanism. Weighted addressable events totaled 137.5, or 84.9% of the sample.
- **Time basis:** The 2021–2025 event counts and pollutant totals were divided by five to estimate an average annual rate before statewide scaling, ton reporting, and application of predictive-maintenance effectiveness.
- **State scaling:** For each chemical type, annualized weighted events and emissions per sampled facility were multiplied by the corresponding statewide facility count.
- **Headline case:** A 60% intervention-effectiveness factor and 100% statewide-representativeness factor were applied to 159.7 modeled addressable events/year, yielding 95.8 potentially avoided events/year (approximately 1.8 per facility).
- **Avoided emissions:** Reported emissions were weighted for addressability, annualized, scaled by chemical type, and multiplied by the headline factors. CO₂ was derived for selected flaring events when not reported, using flare-stream composition and combustion assumptions.
- **Headline results:** Approximately 65,000 tons CO₂/year, 27 tons NO_x/year, 137 tons VOC/year, 6 tons benzene/year, and 7 tons 1,3-butadiene/year.

Cost and economic benefits

- **Deployment cost:** Five-year costs include software licensing, connectivity, enterprise support, vendor installation, and internal installation labor. Modeled cost is \$0.43–\$0.75 million per facility, or \$22.4–\$39.2 million statewide over five years.
- **Production-benefit method:** Annual avoided events are multiplied by the probability of production interruption, downtime, affected facility production, the unrecoverable share of affected output, and a high-end hourly production-value proxy.
- **Affected versus unrecoverable production:** The assumptions are independent: 40% of full-facility production is affected during an interruption, and 60% of that affected output cannot be made up later. The remaining affected output is assumed recoverable through rescheduling, higher utilization, or inventory management.
- **High-end hourly value:** \$400,000 per full-facility hour is used as a high-end screening proxy. Public condition-monitoring guidance notes that production value at major continuous petrochemical facilities can reach hundreds of thousands of dollars per hour and recommends site-specific accounting. After the 40% affected and 60% unrecoverable factors, the model values permanent loss at \$96,000 per downtime hour.
- **Modeled benefits:** Avoided lost production value is \$6.34 million/facility/year, or \$329.5 million statewide/year. Adding the high-end COBRA health benefit of \$1.48 million yields a total modeled annual benefit of about \$331.0 million.

3. Key Calculations

- **Addressable share** = $\Sigma \text{event addressability weights} \div \text{total events} = 137.5 \div 162 = 84.9\%$.
- **Annualized statewide addressable events** = $\Sigma[(\text{five-year weighted events} \div \text{five years} \div \text{sampled facilities}) \times \text{statewide facilities}] = 159.7 \text{ events/year}$.
- **Potentially avoided events** = $159.7 \times 60\% \text{ effectiveness} \times 100\% \text{ representativeness} = 95.8 \text{ events/year}$.
- **Avoided emissions (tons)** = $\Sigma[(\text{five-year weighted pollutant totals} \div \text{five years} \div \text{sampled facilities}) \times \text{statewide facilities}] \times \text{effectiveness} \times \text{representativeness}$.
- **Five-year deployment cost** = Year 1 cost + 4 × annual year 2–5 cost.
- **Avoided production value/site** = $1.83 \text{ events/year} \times 75\% \text{ interruption probability} \times 48 \text{ hours} \times 40\% \text{ affected production} \times 60\% \text{ unrecoverable share} \times \$400,000/\text{full-facility hour} = \$6.34 \text{ million/year}$.
- **Annual benefit total** = \$329.5 million avoided lost production value + \$1.48 million monetized health benefits = \$331.0 million/year.

4. Key Limitations

- The nine-facility sample is uneven across chemical types and dominated by olefins events; sampled event rates and severities may not represent all 52 facilities.
- Annualization assumes comparable five-year reporting coverage across sampled facilities; incomplete or partial-year reporting would affect annual event and emissions rates.
- Addressability classifications, 60% effectiveness, and 100% representativeness are high-end expert-judgment scenarios, not measured predictive-maintenance performance.
- State scaling assumes similar event frequency and severity within each chemical type and does not adjust for site size, age, existing monitoring, or equipment condition.
- TCEQ event reports vary in completeness; CO₂ for some flaring events is estimated, and preventing an upset may shift some emissions to planned maintenance rather than eliminate them.

- Deployment costs are screening estimates and may omit sensor hardware, control-system integration, cybersecurity, data preparation, training, and added staffing.
- The \$400,000/hour value is a high-end order-of-magnitude proxy, not a verified statewide average contribution margin. The affected-production and unrecoverable-output shares are separate screening assumptions, and actual losses depend on product margins, inventories, contractual effects, and production recovery.
- COBRA is a screening model; results do not monetize direct benzene or 1,3-butadiene exposure, worker impacts, acute peaks, safety benefits, repair costs, or reputational effects.

5. Sources

- [TCEQ Reports of Air Emissions Events](#): 2021–2025 event causes and reported pollutant quantities. Source quantities were converted to tons for reporting.
- [EPA COBRA Screening Model](#): monetized PM2.5- and ozone-related health benefits.
- [EPA GHG Emission Factors Hub](#): natural gas combustion CO₂ factor used in selected derived calculations.
- [EPA AP-42, Section 1.4 - Natural Gas Combustion](#): combustion-emissions reference.
- [US Patent US7838712B2](#): project proxy for steam-cracker product composition used in selected CO₂ calculations.
- [Tractian, How to Build the Board-Level Case for Condition Monitoring in Chemical Operations](#): supports the high-end order of magnitude for hourly production value at major continuous petrochemical facilities; recommends using site-specific production accounting where available.

Low-Leak Natural Gas Procurement

1. Scope

- **Lever:** Procurement of low-methane-intensity natural gas by target Texas chemical production facilities.
- **Modeled intervention:** Shift modeled upstream production methane intensity from 1.71% to 0.20%.
- **Modeled Texas gas procurement denominator:** 415 million MMBtu/year of natural gas procurement, including fuel and feedstock for target Texas chemical production.
- **Boundary:** Includes upstream methane leakage associated with natural gas supplied to target chemical production; does not model on-site equipment changes at chemical facilities.
- **Health screening boundary:** Uses associated upstream VOC reductions as a proxy COBRA input; does not value methane directly in COBRA.
- **Interpretation:** Full-procurement screening scenario assuming all modeled Texas chemical-sector natural gas procurement transitions to low-leak gas; not an estimate of achievable near-term adoption or physically delivered certified gas.

2. Methodology

Emissions

- **Demand denominator:** Start with annual natural gas procurement for target Texas chemical production, including fuel and feedstock.
- **Methane represented by purchased gas:** Convert gas procurement from MMBtu to methane mass using 1,010 Btu/scf methane heat content and 19.23 g CH₄/scf methane mass conversion.
- **Methane-intensity change:** Apply the difference between the baseline methane intensity of 1.71% and the low-leak target of 0.20%.

- **Avoided methane:** The modeled procurement shift avoids approximately 119,000 tons CH₄/year.
- **Climate impact:** Avoided methane is converted to CO₂e using fossil methane GWP20 = 82.5, resulting in approximately 9.8 million tons CO₂e/year avoided.
- **VOC proxy for health screening:** Avoided methane is translated to associated upstream VOC reductions using EPA's rule-wide ratio of 16 million tons VOC / 58 million tons methane, resulting in approximately 33,000 tons VOC/year entered in COBRA.
- **COBRA setup:** COBRA run uses the 2023 baseline, Texas as the location, and the closest available upstream oil-and-gas production sector. The resulting monetized health benefit is approximately \$35 million/year.

Cost

- **Incremental low-leak procurement cost:** reported cost of \$0.01 to \$0.12/MMBtu for certified gas.

3. Key Calculations

- Methane represented by purchased gas = gas procurement × 10⁶ ÷ methane heat content × methane mass conversion ÷ grams per short ton.
- Methane-intensity difference = baseline methane intensity – low-leak methane intensity.
- Avoided methane = methane represented by purchased gas × methane-intensity difference.
- Avoided CO₂e = avoided methane × fossil methane GWP20.
- VOC proxy input = avoided methane × EPA VOC-to-methane reduction ratio.

4. Key Limitations

- This is a procurement screening scenario, not a facility-level engineering retrofit model.
- The gas procurement denominator is a project estimate for target Texas chemical production and does not represent measured facility-level purchase contracts.
- The modeled methane benefit depends on the assumed upstream baseline methane intensity of 1.71% and target intensity of 0.20%.
- COBRA does not model methane directly; health benefits reflect associated upstream VOC reductions only.
- COBRA results do not value climate damages, methane-driven global background ozone, or hazardous air pollutants.
- The Texas statewide COBRA run is a geographic proxy for upstream supply; actual purchased gas may originate outside Texas.
- Results do not address market complexities such as physical delivery, certificate ownership, chain of custody, double counting, or certification-market availability.

5. Sources

- [International Energy Agency Methane Tracker](#): methane abatement cost stack.
- [EPA final oil and natural gas methane rule](#): methane and VOC co-reduction proxy.
- [EPA COBRA Web Edition](#) and COBRA methodology: health benefit screening.
- [IPCC AR6 WG1, Chapter 7](#), Table 7.15: fossil methane GWP20.
- [EIA methane heat content value](#): 1,010 Btu/scf.
- EPA methane unit conversion table: 1 scf methane = 19.23 g methane.

- [Manufacturing Energy Consumption Survey](#), GHGRP, and RMI analysis: gas usage by chemical facilities in project scope.

NO_x Emissions Control Technologies

1. Scope

- Technologies: Low-NO_x burner and combustion-control retrofits (LNBs), selective catalytic reduction (SCR), and a stacked case that applies LNBs before SCR.
- Facility universe: 47 core facilities matched to 45 TCEQ emissions records.
- Modeled baseline: 22,000 tons NO_x/year from matched 2024 TCEQ point-source records.
- Eligible sources: Reported boilers, furnaces, and process heaters; flares, engines, turbines, process vents, and unmatched facilities are excluded.
- Interpretation: Incremental control-opportunity screen from current reported emissions; not an uncontrolled baseline, total chemical-sector inventory, or unit-level retrofit plan.

2. Methodology

Emissions

- **Inventory construction:** Audited facilities were mapped to TCEQ accounts and source rows; multiple records for one physical complex were combined, and unmatched sites were not imputed.
- **LNB central case:** Units with reported NO_x rates above 0.10 lb/MMBtu receive a 40% reduction; units at 0.04–0.10 lb/MMBtu receive 20%; lower-rate units receive no reduction. Missing-rate units receive an effective 10% reduction.
- **SCR central case:** Eligible units emitting at least 22.7 tons NO_x/year receive an 85% reduction. For units with missing rate fields, the model applies a limited effective SCR reduction of 21.25%.
- **Stacked case:** LNB reductions are applied first; SCR is then applied to the remaining emissions from screened candidates. Stand-alone LNB and SCR results should not be added.
- Central results: LNBs reduce 1,800 tons/year; SCR reduces 2,400 tons/year; the stacked case reduces 4,200 tons/year. Approximately 95% of the modeled reduction is associated with olefins facilities.

Cost and health benefits

- Annualized cost screen: LNB cost-effectiveness ranges from approximately \$551 to \$3,307 per ton removed (central: \$1,653); SCR ranges from approximately \$5,512 to \$22,046 per ton (central: \$11,023).
- Central annualized costs: \$3.0 million/year for LNBs, \$26.4 million/year for SCR, and \$29.5 million/year for the stacked case. The stacked cost equals the LNB cost plus SCR cost on the screened portfolio.
- COBRA screen: A one-ton Texas chemical-sector NO_x reduction run produced low and high monetized benefits equivalent to approximately \$32,200–\$38,200 per ton. Midpoint benefits are \$64.7 million/year for LNBs, \$84.4 million/year for SCR, and \$149.1 million/year for the stacked case.

3. Key Calculations

- LNB reduction = sum of current unit NO_x × applicable rate-class reduction.
- Stand-alone SCR reduction = sum of eligible current unit NO_x × applicable SCR reduction.
- Stacked reduction = LNB reduction + SCR reduction applied to post-LNB residual emissions.

- Annualized control cost = modeled reduction × annualized cost-effectiveness input; all cost inputs were converted to dollars per ton for reporting.
- COBRA health benefit = modeled reduction × midpoint monetized benefit per ton.

4. Key Limitations

- Reported emission-rate limits are screening proxies and do not prove whether a unit already has LNBs, ultra-low-NO_x burners, SCR, or other controls.
- Missing control and rate fields materially affect results; central effective reductions for unknown units are judgment-based.
- The baseline covers matched 2024 public records only and excludes unmatched facilities and noneligible source types.
- SCR feasibility is not screened for exhaust temperature, catalyst compatibility, ammonia handling, ductwork, space, unit age, remaining life, or outage timing.
- Annualized \$/ton ranges are policy-scale screening assumptions, not engineering estimates; they do not provide a capital/O&M split or unit-specific retrofit cost.
- COBRA values are extrapolated from a one-ton screening run. The county-level scenario input tables should be rerun and imported before final publication; COBRA also does not capture all localized or worker-exposure effects.
- The stacked case represents broad technical opportunity, not an optimized deployment sequence or forecast of achievable adoption.

5. Sources

- TCEQ, [Point Source Emissions Data and 2024 Point Source Data](#): unit/source NO_x, equipment profiles, reported limits, and county.
- US EPA, [NO_x Emissions from Process Heaters](#): LNB/SCR performance and cost context.
- US EPA, [SCR Cost Manual](#) and [Cost Equations](#): control-cost methodology and future unit-level upgrades.
- US EPA, [Non-EGU NO_x Control Assessment](#): control-efficiency and cost ranges.
- US EPA, [COBRA Screening Model](#): monetized PM_{2.5}- and ozone-related health benefits.

Thermal Energy Storage (TES) for Industrial Steam

1. Scope

- **Technology:** Electric thermal energy storage (TES) replacing steam supplied by conventional natural gas boilers.
- **Included processes:** Steam cracking and other olefins, non-refinery BTX, hydrogen, methanol, and ammonia.
- **Modeled Texas heat demand denominator:** 45.1 million MMBtu/year of natural gas boiler fuel, equivalent to 36.1 million MMBtu/year of useful steam heat.
- **Boundary:** Includes conventional natural gas boiler steam; excludes feedstock use, CHP/cogeneration, direct-fired process heat, purchased steam, and non-natural gas boiler fuel.

- **Interpretation:** Gross statewide technical-potential screen assuming full displacement of eligible boiler load; not an estimate of achievable or near-term deployment.

2. Methodology

Emissions

- **Demand denominator:** Start with national natural gas fuel estimates by target process; allocate to Texas using production, capacity, facility, and GHGRP-based activity indicators; apply process-specific conventional-boiler shares from EIA 2022 MECS Table 5.2; convert fuel to useful heat at 80% boiler efficiency.
- **Natural gas baseline:** Boiler fuel $\times$ 53.06 kg CO₂/MMBtu = 2.4 million tons CO₂/year.
- **TES electricity demand:** Useful heat $\times$ 293.071 kWh/MMBtu $\div$ 98% efficiency = 10.79 TWh/year.
- **Current-grid case:** Electricity demand $\times$ Texas 2024 average generation intensity (0.373 kg CO₂/kWh) = 4 million tons CO₂/year, or 1.6 million tons above the gas baseline.
- **Zero-emissions case:** Charging intensity = 0 kg CO₂/kWh; avoided boiler emissions = 2.39 million tons CO₂/year.
- On-site air pollutants: NO_x, VOC, SO₂, and PM_{2.5} reductions use EPA AP-42 screening factors and do not reflect boiler-specific controls.

Cost

- **Primary comparison:** Energy cost only — natural gas fuel for boiler heat versus electricity for TES heat. TES capital, fixed O&M, interconnection, and installation costs are excluded.
- **Average 2025 prices:** Gas at \$3.72/MCF yields boiler heat at \$4.48/MMBtu; electricity at \$65.50/MWh yields TES heat at \$19.59/MMBtu. Annual energy expenditure rises from \$162 million to \$707 million, a \$545 million premium.
- **Favorable energy-price scenario:** 2022 gas at \$6.61/MCF yields boiler heat at \$7.97/MMBtu; TES charging at \$30/MWh yields TES heat at \$8.97/MMBtu, a 12.6% or \$36 million annual premium.
- **Interpretation:** Results are not a levelized cost of heat or full project-cost comparison.

3. Key Calculations

- **Eligible useful heat** = state-allocated process fuel $\times$ conventional-boiler share $\times$ boiler efficiency.
- **Gas heat cost** = gas price $\div$ natural gas energy content $\div$ boiler efficiency.
- **TES heat energy cost** = electricity price $\times$ 0.293071 MWh/MMBtu $\div$ TES efficiency.
- **TES electricity demand** = useful heat $\times$ 293.071 kWh/MMBtu $\div$ TES efficiency.
- **Energy-only abatement cost** = incremental electricity expenditure $\div$ operational CO₂ avoided.
- **Illustrative infrastructure requirement:** 19.3 GWh of thermal storage and 3.70 GW of electric charging capacity.

4. Key Limitations

- National MECS boiler shares and state activity allocations are screening proxies, not measured facility steam consumption.
- Full displacement does not account for technical suitability, steam conditions, load shape, redundancy, retrofit timing, or site constraints.
- Current-grid emissions use annual-average generation intensity rather than hourly marginal emissions; low-price hours are not assumed to be low-emissions hours.
- The \$30/MWh case is an illustrative final all-in price, not an available tariff or market forecast.

- Energy-only economics exclude equipment, O&M, interconnection, electrical upgrades, steam integration, installation, engineering, contingency, and financing.
- Infrastructure sizing assumes a flat average load and an eight-hour daily charging period.

5. Sources

- [EIA 2022 Manufacturing Energy Consumption Survey, Table 5.2](#): Conventional-boiler fuel shares.
- [EIA Natural Gas Navigator](#): 2022 and 2025 Texas industrial natural gas prices.
- [EIA Electric Power Monthly, Table 5.6.B](#): 2025 Texas industrial electricity price.
- [EIA Texas State Electricity Profile](#), 2024: Average grid generation emissions.
- [EPA GHG Emission Factors Hub](#): Natural gas CO₂ factor.
- [EPA AP-42, Section 1.4](#): Natural gas boiler air-pollutant factors.

High-Purity CCS for Hydrogen and Related Primary Chemical Production

1. Scope

- **Technology:** Carbon capture and storage applied to high-purity process CO₂ streams associated with hydrogen and related primary chemical production.
- **Included processes in the model:** Hydrogen, ammonia, and methanol.
- **Modeled Texas facility count:** 15 hydrogen facilities, 2 ammonia facilities, and 3 methanol facilities.
- **Modeled cases:**
 - a. **Texas + CCS:** CCS deployed using the current Texas grid emissions factor for added electricity demand.
 - b. **Texas Renewable + CCS:** CCS deployed assuming 100% renewable electricity for added electricity demand.
- **Interpretation:** Statewide screening estimate of emissions-reduction potential from CCS on high-purity process streams. This is not a facility-specific engineering design or a full project-level investment estimate.

2. Methodology

Emissions

- **Baseline emissions intensity:** Start with RMI's internal *Chemistry in Transition* emissions model for baseline emissions intensities by primary chemical.
- **CCS case emissions intensity:** Apply CCS-adjusted emissions intensities for hydrogen, ammonia, and methanol.
- **Statewide reduction:** Multiply the change in emissions intensity by average production volume and the number of facilities in Texas.
- **Grid case:** Uses Texas grid electricity emissions for added CCS electricity demand.
- **Renewable case:** Assumes added CCS electricity demand is supplied by 100% renewable electricity.
- **GHGs**

- a. Percent reduction from Texas primary chemicals baseline:
 - i. Texas + CCS: 5.8%.
 - ii. Texas Renewable + CCS: 6.7%.
- **Air pollutants**
 - a. The model estimates changes in VOC, CO, NO_x, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, SO_x, and CH₄.
 - b. In the Texas + CCS grid-powered case, several CAPs increase because added CCS energy demand increases upstream or power-sector emissions.
 - c. Total CAPs reduction in the renewable case is approximately 0.9%.

Cost

- **Estimated statewide capex:** \$4.95 billion.
- **Statewide annual cost before 45Q:** \$857 million/year.
- **Cost per net ton CO₂e avoided before 45Q:** \$129/tCO₂e.
- **Statewide annual net cost after \$85/t 45Q:** \$293 million/year.
- **Cost per net ton CO₂e avoided after \$85/t 45Q:** \$44/tCO₂e.
- **Interpretation:** Cost results are screening-level estimates and do not include full project-finance, tax-equity, site-specific engineering, pipeline, storage, or transaction-cost detail beyond what is represented in the model.

3. Key Calculations

- **Texas statewide primary chemicals baseline emissions** = baseline emissions intensity × average production volume × number of facilities.
- **CCS case emissions** = CCS-adjusted emissions intensity × average production volume × number of facilities.
- **GHG reduction** = baseline emissions – CCS case emissions.
- **Percent reduction from primary chemicals baseline** = total GHG reduction ÷ Texas statewide primary chemicals baseline emissions.
- **Statewide annual cost after 45Q** = annual cost before 45Q – value of 45Q credit.
- **Cost per net ton CO₂e** = annual cost ÷ net CO₂e avoided.

4. Key Limitations

- The model is a statewide screening analysis, not a facility-specific CCS deployment plan.
- Facility production is estimated using average production volumes and facility counts, not measured facility-level production.
- Results depend on RMI's internal *Chemistry in Transition* emissions model and CCS-adjusted emissions intensities.
- The grid-powered CCS case can increase some criteria air pollutants due to added energy demand.
- The renewable case assumes 100% renewable electricity for added CCS load and does not evaluate hourly matching, interconnection, or electricity procurement constraints.
- CAP and CH₄ results are directional screening estimates and should not be interpreted as permit-level emissions estimates.

- Cost results do not include project-specific pipeline distance, storage-site availability, permitting, pore-space access, financing structure, tax-credit monetization, or liability considerations.
- The 45Q sensitivity assumes an \$85/ton credit value but does not model eligibility, transfer discounts, tax appetite, transaction costs, or credit sharing.

5. Sources

- RMI internal emissions and cost modeling
- [EPA GHGRP / FLIGHT](#): facility-level greenhouse gas reporting framework.
- [DOE/NETL, Cost of Capturing CO₂ from Industrial Sources](#): capture cost and energy assumptions.
- [EPA eGRID](#): grid emissions factors.
- [EPA GHG Emission Factors Hub](#): natural gas combustion emissions factors.
- [IPCC AR6](#): fossil methane GWP assumptions.
- [IRS 45Q guidance](#): carbon oxide sequestration credit sensitivity.

COBRA Assumptions & Outputs

AI-Driven Predictive Maintenance

Exhibit 1: COBRA assumptions for AI-driven predictive maintenance

Counties	Brazoria, Calhoun, Chambers, Fort Bend, Galveston, Gregg, Harris, Harrison, Hutchinson, Jefferson, Montgomery, Nueces, Orange, San Patricio
Sector/Subsectors	Chemical & Allied Product > Organic Chemical
Discount rate	2%
PM_{2.5} reduce by (short tons)	0.08
SO₂ reduce by (short tons)	0.08
NO_x reduce by (short tons)	29.40
VOC reduce by (short tons)	150.44

Exhibit 2: COBRA outputs for AI-driven predictive maintenance

Total Annual Health Benefits to Texas		
	\$1,301,407	\$1,478,030
	Low Value	High Value
	Change in Incidence	Monetary Value
Total Mortality	0.074 / 0.086	\$1,084,467 / \$1,261,090

Mortality, All Cause (PM)	0.009 / 0.021	\$133,818 / \$310,441
Mortality, O3 Short-term Exposure (O3)	0.003	\$40,918
Mortality, O3 Long-term Exposure (O3)	0.062	\$909,731
Nonfatal Heart Attacks (PM)	0.006	\$518
Infant Mortality (PM)	0.000	\$2,021
Total Hospital Admits, All Respiratory	0.009	\$164
Hospital Admits, All Respiratory (PM)	0.001	\$32
Hospital Admits, All Respiratory (O3)	0.007	\$132
Total Emergency Room Visits, Respiratory	0.283	\$460
Emergency Room Visits, Respiratory (PM)	0.011	\$18
Emergency Room Visits, Respiratory (O3)	0.272	\$443
Total Asthma Onset	0.741	\$56,536
Asthma Onset (PM)	0.038	\$2,906
Asthma Onset (O3)	0.703	\$53,629
Total Asthma Symptoms	111.680	\$40,452
Asthma Symptoms, Albuterol Use (PM)	6.836	\$4
Asthma Symptoms, Chest Tightness (O3)	28.886	\$11,144
Asthma Symptoms, Cough (O3)	34.073	\$13,145
Asthma Symptoms, Shortness of Breath (O3)	14.577	\$5,624
Asthma Symptoms, Wheeze (O3)	27.308	\$10,535
Emergency Room Visits, Asthma (O3)	0.001	\$1
Lung Cancer (PM)	0.001	\$32
Hospital Admits, Cardio-Cerebro/Peripheral Vascular Disease (PM)	0.001	\$37
Hospital Admits, Alzheimer's Disease (PM)	0.002	\$55
Hospital Admits, Parkinson's Disease (PM)	0.001	\$13
Stroke (PM)	0.001	\$38
Total Hay Fever/Rhinitis	4.646	\$5,176
Hay Fever/Rhinitis (PM)	0.237	\$264
Hay Fever/Rhinitis (O3)	4.409	\$4,912
Cardiac Arrest, Out of Hospital (PM)	0.000	\$10
Emergency Room Visits, All Cardiac (PM)	0.004	\$8
Minor Restricted Activity Days (PM)	9.995	\$1,257
School Loss Days (O3)	64.547	\$109,621
Work Loss Days (PM)	1.703	\$539
Total PM Health Effects	18.847 / 18.859	\$141,571 / \$318,194
Total O3 Health Effects	174.849	\$1,159,835

Low-Leak Natural Gas Procurement

Exhibit 3: COBRA assumptions for low-leak gas

Counties	Texas (all)
Sector/Subsectors	Petroleum & Related Industries > Oil and Gas production
Discount rate	2%
VOC reduce by (short tons)	36,300

Exhibit 4: COBRA outputs for low-leak gas

Total Annual Health Benefits to Texas		
	\$38,437,989	\$38,437,989
	Low Value	High Value
	Change in Incidence	Monetary Value
Total Mortality	2.182 / 2.182	\$31,849,988 / \$31,849,988
Mortality, All Cause (PM)	0.000 / 0.000	\$0 / \$0
Mortality, O3 Short-term Exposure (O3)	0.094	\$1,370,865
Mortality, O3 Long-term Exposure (O3)	2.088	\$30,479,123
Nonfatal Heart Attacks (PM)	0.000	\$0
Infant Mortality (PM)	0.000	\$0
Total Hospital Admits, All Respiratory	0.248	\$4,459
Hospital Admits, All Respiratory (PM)	0.000	\$0
Hospital Admits, All Respiratory (O3)	0.248	\$4,459
Total Emergency Room Visits, Respiratory	8.748	\$14,209
Emergency Room Visits, Respiratory (PM)	0.000	\$0
Emergency Room Visits, Respiratory (O3)	8.748	\$14,209
Total Asthma Onset	22.074	\$1,683,724
Asthma Onset (PM)	0.000	\$0
Asthma Onset (O3)	22.074	\$1,683,724
Total Asthma Symptoms	3,286.269	\$1,267,816
Asthma Symptoms, Albuterol Use (PM)	0.000	\$0
Asthma Symptoms, Chest Tightness (O3)	905.373	\$349,286
Asthma Symptoms, Cough (O3)	1,068.008	\$412,029
Asthma Symptoms, Shortness of Breath (O3)	456.924	\$176,278
Asthma Symptoms, Wheeze (O3)	855.964	\$330,224
Emergency Room Visits, Asthma (O3)	0.047	\$39
Lung Cancer (PM)	0.000	\$0
Hospital Admits, Cardio-Cerebro/Peripheral Vascular Disease (PM)	0.000	\$0
Hospital Admits, Alzheimer's Disease (PM)	0.000	\$0
Hospital Admits, Parkinson's Disease (PM)	0.000	\$0
Stroke (PM)	0.000	\$0
Total Hay Fever/Rhinitis	139.124	\$155,013
Hay Fever/Rhinitis (PM)	0.000	\$0

Hay Fever/Rhinitis (O3)	139.124	\$155,013
Cardiac Arrest, Out of Hospital (PM)	0.000	\$0
Emergency Room Visits, All Cardiac (PM)	0.000	\$0
Minor Restricted Activity Days (PM)	0.000	\$0
School Loss Days (O3)	2,038.929	\$3,462,741
Work Loss Days (PM)	0.000	\$0
Total PM Health Effects	0.000 / 0.000	\$0 / \$0
Total O3 Health Effects	5,497.620	\$38,437,989

NO_x Controls

Exhibit 5: COBRA assumptions for NO_x controls

Counties	Brazoria, Calhoun, Chambers, Fort Bend, Galveston, Gregg, Harris, Harrison, Hutchinson, Jefferson, Montgomery, Nueces, Orange, San Patricio
Sector/Subsectors	Chemical & Allied Product > Organic Chemical
Discount rate	2%
PM_{2.5} reduce by (short tons)	0
SO₂ reduce by (short tons)	0
NO_x reduce by (short tons)	1.00
VOC reduce by (short tons)	0

Exhibit 6: COBRA outputs for NO_x controls

Total Annual Health Benefits to Texas		
	\$29,208	\$34,661
	Low Value	High Value
	Change in Incidence	Monetary Value
Total Mortality	0.002 / 0.002	\$24,630 / \$30,082
Mortality, All Cause (PM)	0.000 / 0.001	\$4,136 / \$9,588
Mortality, O3 Short-term Exposure (O3)	0.000	\$882
Mortality, O3 Long-term Exposure (O3)	0.001	\$19,612
Nonfatal Heart Attacks (PM)	0.000	\$16
Infant Mortality (PM)	0.000	\$62
Total Hospital Admits, All Respiratory	0.000	\$4
Hospital Admits, All Respiratory (PM)	0.000	\$1
Hospital Admits, All Respiratory (O3)	0.000	\$3
Total Emergency Room Visits, Respiratory	0.006	\$10

Emergency Room Visits, Respiratory (PM)	0.000	\$1
Emergency Room Visits, Respiratory (O3)	0.006	\$9
Total Asthma Onset	0.016	\$1,200
Asthma Onset (PM)	0.001	\$89
Asthma Onset (O3)	0.015	\$1,110
Total Asthma Symptoms	2.384	\$839
Asthma Symptoms, Albuterol Use (PM)	0.210	\$0
Asthma Symptoms, Chest Tightness (O3)	0.599	\$231
Asthma Symptoms, Cough (O3)	0.706	\$273
Asthma Symptoms, Shortness of Breath (O3)	0.302	\$117
Asthma Symptoms, Wheeze (O3)	0.566	\$218
Emergency Room Visits, Asthma (O3)	0.000	\$0
Lung Cancer (PM)	0.000	\$1
Hospital Admits, Cardio-Cerebro/Peripheral Vascular Disease (PM)	0.000	\$1
Hospital Admits, Alzheimer's Disease (PM)	0.000	\$2
Hospital Admits, Parkinson's Disease (PM)	0.000	\$0
Stroke (PM)	0.000	\$1
Total Hay Fever/Rhinitis	0.099	\$110
Hay Fever/Rhinitis (PM)	0.007	\$8
Hay Fever/Rhinitis (O3)	0.091	\$102
Cardiac Arrest, Out of Hospital (PM)	0.000	\$0
Emergency Room Visits, All Cardiac (PM)	0.000	\$0
Minor Restricted Activity Days (PM)	0.307	\$39
School Loss Days (O3)	1.339	\$2,273
Work Loss Days (PM)	0.052	\$17
Total PM Health Effects	0.579 / 0.580	\$4,374 / \$9,826
Total O3 Health Effects	3.625	\$24,830

Thermal Energy Storage

Exhibit 7: COBRA assumptions for TES with 100% renewable energy

Counties	Brazoria, Calhoun, Chambers, Fort Bend, Galveston, Gregg, Harris, Harrison, Hutchinson, Jefferson, Montgomery, Nueces, Orange, San Patricio
Sector/Subsectors	Chemical > Organic
Discount rate	2%
PM_{2.5} reduce by (short tons)	168.1
SO₂ reduce by (short tons)	13.27
NO_x reduce by (short tons)	2,211.8
VOC reduce by (short tons)	121.6

Exhibit 8: COBRA outputs for TES with 100% renewable energy

Total Annual Health Benefits to Texas		
	\$90,017,281	\$133,642,837
	Low Value	High Value
	Change in Incidence	Monetary Value
Total Mortality	5.37 / 8.359	\$78,383,986 / \$122,009,542
Mortality, All Cause (PM)	2.246 / 5.234	32,771,182 / 76,396,739
Mortality, O3 Short-term Exposure (O3)	0.134	\$1,962,920
Mortality, O3 Long-term Exposure (O3)	2.99	\$43,649,885
Nonfatal Heart Attacks (PM)	1.52	\$127,835
Infant Mortality (PM)	0.033	\$517,461
Total Hospital Admits, All Respiratory	0.654	\$14,307
Hospital Admits, All Respiratory (PM)	0.307	\$8,069
Hospital Admits, All Respiratory (O3)	0.347	\$6,238
Total Emergency Room Visits, Respiratory	15.414	\$25,039
Emergency Room Visits, Respiratory (PM)	2.796	\$4,541
Emergency Room Visits, Respiratory (O3)	12.62	\$20,498
Total Asthma Onset	42.186	\$3,217,783
Asthma Onset (PM)	9.771	\$745,274
Asthma Onset (O3)	32.415	\$2,472,510
Total Asthma Symptoms	6,590.275	\$1,868,833
Asthma Symptoms, Albuterol Use (PM)	1,749.029	\$1,119
Asthma Symptoms, Chest Tightness (O3)	1,333.746	\$514,549
Asthma Symptoms, Cough (O3)	1,573.378	\$606,997
Asthma Symptoms, Shortness of Breath (O3)	673.137	\$259,691
Asthma Symptoms, Wheeze (O3)	1,260.984	\$486,477
Emergency Room Visits, Asthma (O3)	0.067	\$56
Lung Cancer (PM)	0.183	\$7,914
Hospital Admits, Cardio-Cerebro/Peripheral Vascular Disease (PM)	0.322	\$9,253
Hospital Admits, Alzheimer's Disease (PM)	0.605	\$13,523
Hospital Admits, Parkinson's Disease (PM)	0.14	\$3,335
Stroke (PM)	0.149	\$9,411
Total Hay Fever/Rhinitis	264.308	\$294,494
Hay Fever/Rhinitis (PM)	60.754	\$67,692
Hay Fever/Rhinitis (O3)	203.554	\$226,801
Cardiac Arrest, Out of Hospital (PM)	0.041	\$2,500
Emergency Room Visits, All Cardiac (PM)	0.95	\$2,048
Minor Restricted Activity Days (PM)	2,540.844	\$319,435

School Loss Days (O3)	2,981.189	\$5,062,995
Work Loss Days (PM)	433.345	\$137,076
Total PM Health Effects	4,803.031 / 4,806.019	\$34,747,666 / \$78,373,222
Total O3 Health Effects	8,074.564	\$55,269,616

CCS on Hydrogen

Exhibit 9: COBRA assumptions for CCS with 2024 Texas grid mix

Counties	Brazoria, Galveston, Gregg, Harris, Hutchinson, Jefferson, Nueces
Sector/Subsectors	Fuel combustion: electric utility > All
Discount rate	2%
PM _{2.5} increase by (short tons)	14.3
SO ₂ increase by (short tons)	143.8
NO _x increase by (short tons)	293.1
VOC increase by (short tons)	79.5

Exhibit 10: COBRA outputs for CCS with 2024 Texas grid mix

Total Annual Health Benefits to Texas		
	-\$15,645,059	-\$23,077,693
	Low Value	High Value
	Change in Incidence	Monetary Value
Total Mortality	-0.924 / -1.433	-\$13,481,774 / - \$20,914,408
Mortality, All Cause (PM)	-0.382 / -0.892	-\$5,581,178 / - \$13,013,812
Mortality, O3 Short-term Exposure (O3)	-0.023	-\$340,171
Mortality, O3 Long-term Exposure (O3)	-0.518	-\$7,560,426
Nonfatal Heart Attacks (PM)	-0.259	-\$21,788
Infant Mortality (PM)	-0.006	-\$88,794
Total Hospital Admits, All Respiratory	-0.115	-\$2,508
Hospital Admits, All Respiratory (PM)	-0.052	-\$1,378
Hospital Admits, All Respiratory (O3)	-0.063	-\$1,130
Total Emergency Room Visits, Respiratory	-2.845	-\$4,622
Emergency Room Visits, Respiratory (PM)	-0.478	-\$777
Emergency Room Visits, Respiratory (O3)	-2.367	-\$3,846
Total Asthma Onset	-7.813	-\$595,970
Asthma Onset (PM)	-1.671	-\$127,491
Asthma Onset (O3)	-6.142	-\$468,480

Total Asthma Symptoms	-1213.385	-\$352,954
Asthma Symptoms, Albuterol Use (PM)	-299.000	-\$191
Asthma Symptoms, Chest Tightness (O3)	-251.926	-\$97,191
Asthma Symptoms, Cough (O3)	-297.160	-\$114,642
Asthma Symptoms, Shortness of Breath (O3)	-127.133	-\$49,047
Asthma Symptoms, Wheeze (O3)	-238.166	-\$91,883
Emergency Room Visits, Asthma (O3)	-0.013	-\$11
Lung Cancer (PM)	-0.031	-\$1,349
Hospital Admits, Cardio-Cerebro/Peripheral Vascular Disease (PM)	-0.055	-\$1,577
Hospital Admits, Alzheimer's Disease (PM)	-0.103	-\$2,308
Hospital Admits, Parkinson's Disease (PM)	-0.024	-\$568
Stroke (PM)	-0.025	-\$1,603
Total Hay Fever/Rhinitis	-48.844	-\$54,422
Hay Fever/Rhinitis (PM)	-10.387	-\$11,574
Hay Fever/Rhinitis (O3)	-38.456	-\$42,848
Cardiac Arrest, Out of Hospital (PM)	-0.007	-\$426
Emergency Room Visits, All Cardiac (PM)	-0.162	-\$349
Minor Restricted Activity Days (PM)	-434.800	-\$54,663
School Loss Days (O3)	-562.858	-\$955,910
Work Loss Days (PM)	-74.172	-\$23,462
Total PM Health Effects	-821.615 / - 822.125	-\$5,919,476 / - \$13,352,110
Total O3 Health Effects	-1524.826	-\$9,725,583

Exhibit 11: COBRA assumptions for CCS with 100% renewable energy

Counties	Brazoria, Galveston, Gregg, Harris, Hutchinson, Jefferson, Nueces
Sector/Subsectors	Fuel combustion: electric utility > All
Discount rate	2%
PM_{2.5} reduce by (short tons)	4.8
SO₂ reduce by (short tons)	39.7
NO_x reduce by (short tons)	72.6
VOC reduce by (short tons)	16.2

Exhibit 12: COBRA outputs for CCS with 100% renewable energy

Total Annual Health Benefits to Texas		
	\$4,137,745	\$6,327,497
	Low Value	High Value

	Change in Incidence	Monetary Value
Total Mortality	0.246 / 0.396	\$3,588,357 / \$5,778,109
Mortality, All Cause (PM)	0.113 / 0.263	\$1,642,433 / \$3,832,185
Mortality, O3 Short-term Exposure (O3)	0.006	\$83,787
Mortality, O3 Long-term Exposure (O3)	0.128	\$1,862,137
Nonfatal Heart Attacks (PM)	0.076	\$6,415
Infant Mortality (PM)	0.002	\$26,267
Total Hospital Admits, All Respiratory	0.031	\$685
Hospital Admits, All Respiratory (PM)	0.015	\$407
Hospital Admits, All Respiratory (O3)	0.015	\$278
Total Emergency Room Visits, Respiratory	0.724	\$1,176
Emergency Room Visits, Respiratory (PM)	0.141	\$229
Emergency Room Visits, Respiratory (O3)	0.583	\$947
Total Asthma Onset	2.007	\$153,052
Asthma Onset (PM)	0.494	\$37,693
Asthma Onset (O3)	1.512	\$115,359
Total Asthma Symptoms	313.556	\$86,928
Asthma Symptoms, Albuterol Use (PM)	88.378	\$57
Asthma Symptoms, Chest Tightness (O3)	62.039	\$23,934
Asthma Symptoms, Cough (O3)	73.180	\$28,232
Asthma Symptoms, Shortness of Breath (O3)	31.308	\$12,079
Asthma Symptoms, Wheeze (O3)	58.651	\$22,627
Emergency Room Visits, Asthma (O3)	0.003	\$3
Lung Cancer (PM)	0.009	\$398
Hospital Admits, Cardio-Cerebro/Peripheral Vascular Disease (PM)	0.016	\$464
Hospital Admits, Alzheimer's Disease (PM)	0.030	\$679
Hospital Admits, Parkinson's Disease (PM)	0.007	\$167
Stroke (PM)	0.007	\$472
Total Hay Fever/Rhinitis	12.541	\$13,973
Hay Fever/Rhinitis (PM)	3.071	\$3,421
Hay Fever/Rhinitis (O3)	9.470	\$10,552
Cardiac Arrest, Out of Hospital (PM)	0.002	\$126
Emergency Room Visits, All Cardiac (PM)	0.048	\$103
Minor Restricted Activity Days (PM)	128.439	\$16,147
School Loss Days (O3)	138.609	\$235,401
Work Loss Days (PM)	21.913	\$6,931
Total PM Health Effects	242.761 / 242.911	\$1,742,409 / \$3,932,161
Total O3 Health Effects	375.504	\$2,395,335

